

## **REMARKS**

### **Claim Rejections**

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kraft et al. (US-6,487,424).

### **Drawings**

It is noted that no Patent Drawing Review (Form PTO-948) was received with the outstanding Office Action. Thus, Applicant must assume that the drawings are acceptable as filed.

### **New Claims**

By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 1, 7 and 13, amended claims 2-6, 8, 10, 12, 14-15 and 17, and has added new claims 19-21 to this application. It is believed that the new and amended claims specifically set forth each element of Applicant's invention in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112, and define subject matter that is patentably distinguishable over the cited prior art.

The cited reference to Kraft et al. discloses a data entry by string of possible candidate information in a communication terminal wherein a string of a plurality of possible information candidates are input using alphanumeric keys (7), the string is displayed on a first special field (30) in a selected Latin or non-Latin format, and displayed in a second special field (31) in a second format.

Kraft et al. requires a string of a plurality of possible information candidates, but does not suggest pressing a key to input a sign in association with pronunciation of the target information into a display device of the mobile phone; and the mobile

phone converting the sign to a key word when an input mode installed therein is determined.

It is axiomatic in U.S. patent law that, in order for a reference to anticipate a claimed structure, it must clearly disclose each and every feature of the claimed structure. Applicant submits that it is abundantly clear, as discussed above, that Kraft et al. does not disclose each and every feature of Applicant's new claims and, therefore, could not possibly anticipate these claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Absent a specific showing of these features, Kraft et al. cannot be said to anticipate any of Applicant's new and amended claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

It is further submitted that Kraft et al. does not disclose, or suggest any modification of their specifically disclosed structures that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at Applicant's claimed structure. Thus, it is not believed that Kraft et al. renders obvious any of Applicant's new and amended claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

### **Summary**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 7, 2004

By:



Bruce H. Troxell  
Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC  
5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404  
Falls Church, Virginia 22041  
Telephone: 703 575-2711  
Telefax: 703 575-2707